

**California Community Power**

# Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of CC Power

**1:00 P.M.**

**Wednesday, August 18, 2021**

**Telephonic Meeting Only**

# California Community Power

## NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING AND AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that a regular meeting of the Board of Directors of California Community Power will be held on **August 18, 2021** at **1:00 p.m.** **The meeting will be telephonic only.**

The following information is being provided as the forum by which members of the public may observe the meeting and offer public comment:

**Phone number:** 1-213-338-8477 or 1-669-219-2599  
**Webinar ID:** 860 6685 6160  
**Meeting Link:** <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86066856160>

### AGENDA

- 1 Call to Order.**
- 2 Verification of Meeting Quorum.**
- 3 Matters subsequent to posting the Agenda.**
- 4 Public Comment** – any member of the public may address the Board of Directors concerning any matter not on the agenda.
- 5 Consent Agenda.**
  - A. Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting held on June 16, 2021.
- 6 Regular Agenda Items – Discussion and Possible Action.**
  - A. Board Chair's Report.
    - i. Dissolution of GM and GC Selection *Ad hoc* Committees.
    - ii. LDS *Ad hoc* Committee Update.
  - B. General Manager's Report.
  - C. Long Duration Storage Project Update.
  - D. Discussion of RFI for IRP procurement Long-Lead Time Resources.
  - E. Consider and Possibly Approve Resolution 21-08-01 *Approval of 2021 Budget Adjustment to Reflect Long Duration Storage Project Costs and Authorization of Cash Call through December 31, 2021.*
  - F. Discussion of Any Individual Member Items.
- 7 Adjournment.**

**California Community Power**

**Consent Agenda Items**

- A. Minutes of the June 16, 2021 CC Power Board of Directors Regular Meeting.**

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS OF  
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY POWER (CC POWER)

June 16, 2021

On this date, a Regular Meeting of the Directors of CC Power was held telephonically.

Representatives Present:

<b>Member Agency</b>	<b>Director</b>
Central Coast Community Energy (3CE)	Tom Habashi
Clean Power San Francisco	Barbara Hale
East Bay Community Energy (EBCE)	Nick Chaset
Marin Clean Energy (MCE)	Mike Callahan, Alternate Director
Peninsula Clean Energy (PCE)	Jan Pepper
Redwood Coast Energy Authority (RCEA)	Matthew Marshall
San José Clean Energy (SJCE)	Lori Mitchell
Silicon Valley Clean Energy (SVCE)	Girish Balachandran, Chair
Sonoma Clean Power Authority (SCP)	Geof Syphers
Valley Clean Energy	Mitch Sears

1. Call to Order: Chair Balachandran called the meeting to order at 1:01 p.m.
2. Verification of Meeting Quorum: Mr. Tony Braun, General Counsel, verified that there was a quorum to proceed. Attendance is noted above. East Bay Community Energy joined prior to item 6B, the vote on Resolution 21-06-01; Central Coast Community Energy joined prior item 6F, the discussion of individual member items. All Directors present participated via teleconference.
3. Matters Subsequent to Posting the Agenda: None.
4. Public Comment: Chair Balachandran invited comments from the public on matters not on the agenda. Public comment was received from Rick Bonilla, who thanked the Committee and the Board for their work on the interim workforce policies for the LDS project and urged Board not to lose sight of the fact that there would need to be additional policies going forward. No further public comment was offered.
5. Consent Agenda: Chair Balachandran invited questions from the Board on the Consent Agenda and there were none. Director Syphers moved, Director Marshall seconded, there was no Board opposition, and the Consent Agenda was unanimously approved by all participating directors.
6. Regular Agenda Items – Discussion and Possible Action:
  - A. Chair Balachandran reported on behalf of the *ad hoc* committee and recommended the Board approve the agreement with Mr. Tim Haines, Grid and Power Symmetry, LLC, for

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS OF  
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY POWER (CC POWER)

interim general manager services. Chair Balachandran invited questions from the Board, there were none. Director Hale moved to approve the agreement, and Director Mitchell seconded. Chair Balachandran invited comment from the public and did not receive any.

A roll call vote was taken, and the participating Directors voted unanimously in favor of Resolution 21-06-10 *Approval of Agreement with Tim Haines, Grid & Power Symmetry, LLC, for Interim General Manager Services.*

Chair Balachandran introduced Mr. Haines, who has had a long career in public power. Mr. Haines expressed his excitement about serving this Board, its member agencies and their customers. He also commended the Board on the remarkable progress made in decarbonizing the grid and expressed his desire to meet with the Board members and their teams to discuss how they think LDS projects will help meet the needs of their communities and any additional projects and programs they would like CC Power to take on.

- B. Chair Balachandran thanked Mr. Jim Shetler and recognized him for his contribution and service as Interim General manager, including his assistance with the formation of CC Power and for his work on the LDS project, budget, and policy development. Chair Balachandran invited comment from the public and did not receive any. Directors Syphers, Pepper, and Sears offered additional thanks to Mr. Shetler. Director Marshall moved, Director Pepper seconded, and a roll call vote was taken. The participating Directors voted unanimously in favor Resolution 21-06-01 *Commending and Thanking Jim Shetler for his Service to California Community Power.* Mr. Shetler thanked Board for the recognition and the opportunity and encouraged the Board to work with the new General Manager on delegation of authority to relieve the Board of minor issues that may develop.
- C. Mr. Shetler provided an overview on the budget adjustment for the Interim General Manger transition and to accommodate VCE's participation in the LDS project. The 2021 Budget did not account for a transition overlap between the Interim General Managers. Mr. Shetler, Mr. Haines, and Chair Balachandran determined it would be beneficial to allow for some transition time and recommend a two-week overlap in June and as-needed and additional time for consultation in July. Based on expenditures to date, Mr. Shelter believes half of the required hours could be covered in the existing budget and suggested adding \$5,000 to the budget to be allocated in June and July. He invited questions from the Board and did not receive any.

Mr. Shetler further reported that VCE had expressed interest in participating in the LDS Project and committed to cover its share of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 development costs. This change does not result in a budget increase, but rather a minor budget reduction for the other participants.

Mr. Shetler asked the Board to consider and approve the resolution to increase in the 2021 budget for General Manager services to cover the transition in June and July and approve an adjustment in the LDS Project allocations to accommodate VCE's participation. Chair Balachandran invited discussion from the Board and comment from public and there was none. VCE expressed its desire to vote on the first action and abstain from the second action. Mr. Braun responded that at the Board's discretion, the actions could be split, or the Board could move forward and VCE could abstain from voting on the resolution, noting that, if there was support from the Members, the resolution would still carry. With VCE's consent, Chair Balachandran confirmed going forward with one vote. Director Chaset moved, Director Syphers seconded, and a roll

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS OF  
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY POWER (CC POWER)

call vote was taken. Director Sears abstained, and the remaining participating Directors voted in favor of Resolution 21-06-11 *Approval of CC Power Budget Adjustment to Reflect Interim General Manager Transition and Accommodate Valley Clean Energy Participation in the Long Duration Storage Project.*

- D. Mr. Shetler introduced the revision to the Long Duration Storage (LDS) Cost Sharing Agreement, which accommodates the addition of VCE to the Phase 2 LDS Project. Mr. Shetler noted this was an example where delegation of authority would have streamlined the process and suggested the Board consider future delegations to simplify processes. Mr. Braun noted that, in developing the Cost Sharing Agreement, there was concern about not exceeding the not to exceed amounts and ensuring approval for changes and that there was no supportable alternative other than to amend the agreement. He further noted that, at the appropriate time, the Board would be provided operating assumptions to help streamline procedures. Chair Balachandran invited discussion from the Board and comment from the public, and there was none. Director Syphers moved, Director Mitchell seconded, and a roll call vote was taken. The participating Directors voted unanimously in favor of Resolution 21-06-12 *Authorization for Interim General Manager to Execute Amendment No. 1 to the Phase 2 Long Duration Storage Cost Sharing Agreement with Participants.*

- E. Mr. Shetler provided an Interim General Manager update and noted that the Conflict of Interest Code was still under review. The official notice of filing of the Joint Powers Agreement was received from the Secretary of State.

Mr. Shetler provided an update on the LDS Project, including implications of the recent CPUC Proposed Order. Relevant supporting documents, processing of bid deposits, and initial discussions with each of the shortlist developers are underway. Negotiations are expected to run through August/September with final approval by the end of 2021. Mr. Shetler noted the LDS Project funding was approved through September, and therefore, a 2021 Budget adjustment would be needed to accommodate the LDS Project in the 4th quarter. Chair Balachandran clarified that the budget was bifurcated. The general administrative budget was approved through December and the project budget was approved through the end of September. He noted the project budget is roughly 80% of the total JPA budget so it will be critical to get approval no later than September. Chair Balachandran invited questions from the Board for Mr. Shetler and comment from the public, and there were none.

- F. Chair Balachandran invited discussion of any individual member items, including what members are doing to address the heat storm and improve reliability. Director Syphers provided an overview of SCP's new Advanced Energy Center and welcomed members to visit and use the facility. He further noted that SCP is partnering with the National Advanced Water Heating Initiative. Director Mitchell noted SJCE is participating in OhmConnect's City Energy Challenge, in which cities across California compete to see who can save the most energy. Chair Balachandran discussed SVCE's Electric Vehicle Program, a mobile application that connects with cars and home chargers and allows drivers to set objectives. Alternate Director Callahan shared that MCE's new Demand Flex Market Program issued its first event to reduce load today.
- i. Chair Balachandran invited discussion on input of future project ideas and noted several ideas have been collected from the Board over the last few months and will be a part of the conversations Mr. Haines has with members. Chair Balachandran also encouraged the members to share any additional ideas they

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS OF  
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY POWER (CC POWER)

would like CC Power to consider with Mr. Haines. Director Pepper echoed Mr. Shetler's earlier suggestion to continue to work on the polices before embarking on projects. Director Hale agreed with Director Pepper's suggestion and expressed her desire to be a part of the committee.

- ii. With regards to an RFI for procurement to meet CPUC mandates, Chair Balachandran noted the midterm procurement order has been issued with a lot of moving parts in terms of long lead time resources and LDS. There may be an opportunity for CC Power to issue to an RFI on some those issues, and the Project Oversight Committee is discussing the possibility.
- iii. Director Habashi proposed that, in addition to offshore wind procurement, geothermal should be considered, especially in light of the requirement to have a resource with a load factor of 85% or more. He suggested these projects be considered for the long term as a collective action rather than taking them on one at a time. Director Marshall commented that, in regard to offshore wind, the deadlock on central coast federal lease area is moving forward, and a small project might open up interest in transmission upgrades for the north coast region. Director Syphers noted that the State budget allocated monies to study offshore wind.

The Board of Directors adjourned at 1:56 p.m.

Minutes approved on August 18, 2021.

---

C. Anthony Braun, Secretary

## California Community Power

# Agenda Item 6E

1. **Resolution 21-08-01 *Approval of 2021 Budget Adjustment to Reflect Long Duration Storage Project Costs and Authorization of Cash Call Through December 31, 2021.***
2. **Attachment A to Resolution 21-08-01: Revised CC Power 2021 Budget Cash Flow.**
3. **Attachment B to Resolution 21-08-01: Revised CC Power 2021 Budget Allocations.**



# California Community Power

70 Garden Court, Suite 300, Monterey, CA 93940 | cacommunitypower.org

**DATE:** August 18, 2021  
**TO:** Board of Directors  
**FROM:** Tim Haines, Interim General Manager  
**RE:** Proposed Budget Adjustment to Cover Fourth Quarter of 2021

Dear Board Members:

This proposal will adjust the fourth quarter of the 2021 CC Power Budget (the “Proposed Budget”). The activities funded by the Proposed Budget will support the CC Power Board review and approval of the Long Duration Storage (“LDS”) contract. The Proposed Budget is \$506,626 which is a \$123,016 increase.

## Background

In October 2020, a partnership of Community Choice Aggregators issued a Request for Offers for LDS resources. CC Power was formed in February 2021 and partially funded LDS Resources contracting activities through September 2021. Funding LDS activities for the post-September 2020 period was not included as part of the approved budget. The California Public Utilities Commission issued procurement order D.21-06-035 that finalized the contract capacity requirements of CC Power members in June. CC Power members, staff and consultants have since developed joint contract agreements and entered negotiations with shortlisted developers. The objective has been to enter one or more LDS contracts by the end of 2021.

---

A Joint Powers Agency whose members are:

Central Coast Community Energy | CleanPowerSF | East Bay Community Energy | MCE | Peninsula Clean Energy |  
Redwood Coast Energy Authority | San José Clean Energy | Silicon Valley Clean Energy | Sonoma Clean Power |  
Valley Clean Energy

The Timeline and Milestone Accomplishments for the LDS Project include:



- October 15, 2020: Issuance of Long Duration Storage Request for Offers
- January 29, 2021: CC Power Joint Powers Agreement Executed
- February 17, 2021: Board Approves CC Power Budget and contracts for LDS negotiators
- April 21, 2021: Board Authorize CPSF and VCE to become CC Power Members and LDS project participants and modifies budget
- April 21, 2021: Board clarifies Long Duration Storage Project Conditions
- April 21, 2021: Board accepts CC Power Project Development Process
- May 19, 2021: Board hires General Counsel and Interim General Manager
- May-June, 2021: Candidate projects shortlisted
- June 24, 2021: California Public Utilities Commission issued procurement order D.21-06-035
- Ongoing: Negotiations with shortlisted developers underway

#### **Q4 Proposed Budget Activities**

Currently, Member representatives continue to advise me during the contract negotiations. Next, I will provide for CC Power Board consideration approval of final Energy Storage and Service Agreement, Project Participation Agreement and other project agreements. The CC Power Joint Power Agreement states that “The Board shall provide at least sixty (60) days prior

written notice to all Members, unless such notice is otherwise waived, before any Project may be considered for adoption by a vote of the Board.”

CC Power staff is developing a proposed process for the upcoming review and approval phase. The proposal will be shared with the Members in August. This Proposed Budget will support the Board review and approval phase. The expected activities include:

- Public posting recommended projects
- Educational material describing material principal contract terms and conditions
- Assist individual member approval process
- Development of Operating Agreement

The total Proposed Budget to complete LDS contract through approval is \$506,626. This an increase of \$123,016. The budget allocates \$36,111 to CC Power negotiators, \$46,866 to CC Power General Counsel and \$22,700 to the CC Power General Manager.

With the approval of the Proposed Budget, it is requested that the Board authorize the Treasurer to issue cash calls to the members/participants to cover amounts through December 31, 2021 consistent with the approved budget.

**California Community Power  
Resolution 21-08-01**

**APPROVAL OF 2021 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT TO REFLECT LONG DURATION STORAGE  
PROJECT COSTS AND AUTHORIZATION OF CASH CALL THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2021**

WHEREAS, California Community Power (“CC Power”) was created by a Joint Powers Agreement (“JPA”) ; and

WHEREAS, CC Power JPA Section 4.09 describes the non-delegable duty of the CC Power Board of Directors to approve the budget; and

WHEREAS, the Members of CC Power are engaged in an ongoing solicitation for Long Duration Energy Storage (LDS) projects, currently contemplated to be procured through CC Power; and

WHEREAS, the CC Power Board previously approved the 2021 General Budget for March 1 – December 31 and the LDS Project Budget for March 1 – September 30; and

WHEREAS, the CC Power Board previously authorized the Treasurer to issue cash calls to the Members to cover the first 6 months of JPA operations; and

WHEREAS, a Revised Budget reflecting the costs of the LDS project through December 31, 2021 is attached for consideration and possible adoption by the Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of CC Power hereby:

1. Approve the adjustment to the 2021 Budget to include LDS Project costs through December 31, 2021 as summarized in Attachment A.
2. Authorize the Treasurer to issue cash calls to the members/participants to cover the remainder of 2021 as summarized in Attachment B.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of California Community Power this 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2021, by the following vote:

		Aye	No	Abstain	Absent
Central Coast Community Energy	Tom Habashi				
Clean Power San Francisco	Barbara Hale				
East Bay Community Energy	Nick Chaset				
Marin Clean Energy	Dawn Weisz				
Peninsula Clean Energy	Jan Pepper				
Redwood Coast Energy Authority	Matthew Marshall				
San José Clean Energy	Lori Mitchell				
Silicon Valley Clean Energy	Mitch Sears				
Sonoma Clean Power Authority	Geof Syphers				
Valley Clean Energy	Girish Balachandran				

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attest by: Secretary

**Attachment A to Resolution 21-08-81**

**Revised CC Power 2021 Budget Cash Flow**

<b>Approved CC Power Budget</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>MARCH</b>	<b>APRIL</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUNE</b>	<b>JULY</b>	<b>AUGUST</b>	<b>SEPTEMBER</b>	<b>OCTOBER</b>	<b>NOVEMBER</b>	<b>DECEMBER</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
~ General JPA Support											
~ General Manager	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 4,750	\$ 4,250	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 39,000
~ General Counsel	\$ 10,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,500	\$ 5,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 1,500	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 51,000
~ Support Functions	\$ 23,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 33,000
~ Total	\$ 36,850	\$ 8,850	\$ 9,850	\$ 8,850	\$ 6,850	\$ 9,850	\$ 19,850	\$ 6,350	\$ 9,850	\$ 5,850	\$ 123,000
~ LDS Project Support											
~ General Manager	\$ 11,250	\$ 11,250	\$ 11,250	\$ 13,750	\$ 12,250	\$ 11,250	\$ 11,250				\$ 82,250
~ General Counsel	\$ 4,680	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,600	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 15,600	\$ 25,000				\$ 90,880
~ Negotiating Team		\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000				\$ 192,000
~ Project Support	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640				\$ 18,480
~ Total	\$ 18,570	\$ 65,890	\$ 61,490	\$ 53,390	\$ 51,890	\$ 61,490	\$ 70,890	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 383,610
~ Grand Total	\$ 55,420	\$ 74,740	\$ 71,340	\$ 62,240	\$ 58,740	\$ 71,340	\$ 90,740	\$ 6,350	\$ 9,850	\$ 5,850	\$ 506,610
<b>Proposed CC Power Budget</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>MARCH</b>	<b>APRIL</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUNE</b>	<b>JULY</b>	<b>AUGUST</b>	<b>SEPTEMBER</b>	<b>OCTOBER</b>	<b>NOVEMBER</b>	<b>DECEMBER</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
~ General JPA Support											
~ General Manager	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 4,750	\$ 4,250	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,750	\$ 39,000
~ General Counsel	\$ 10,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,500	\$ 5,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 1,500	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 51,000
~ Support Functions	\$ 23,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 33,000
~ Total	\$ 36,850	\$ 8,850	\$ 9,850	\$ 8,850	\$ 6,850	\$ 9,850	\$ 19,850	\$ 6,350	\$ 9,850	\$ 5,850	\$ 123,000
~ LDS Project Support											
~ General Manager	\$ 7,125	\$ 10,375	\$ 10,500	\$ 15,350	\$ 9,850	\$ 10,350	\$ 10,350	\$ 10,350	\$ 10,350	\$ 10,350	\$ 104,950
~ General Counsel	\$ 8,692	\$ 12,342	\$ 16,034	\$ 15,689	\$ 17,500	\$ 14,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 17,500	\$ 14,000	\$ 18,000	\$ 137,756
~ Negotiating Team	\$ -	\$ 27,566	\$ 18,111	\$ 28,979	\$ 37,865	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 237,520
~ Project Support	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,640	\$ 26,400
~ Total	\$ 18,457	\$ 52,923	\$ 47,284	\$ 62,658	\$ 67,855	\$ 66,990	\$ 51,990	\$ 55,490	\$ 41,990	\$ 40,990	\$ 506,626
~ Grand Total	\$ 55,307	\$ 61,773	\$ 57,134	\$ 71,508	\$ 74,705	\$ 76,840	\$ 71,840	\$ 61,840	\$ 51,840	\$ 46,840	\$ 629,626

**Attachment B to Resolution 21-08-81**

**Revised CC Power 2021 Budget Allocations**

<b>Approved Cash Call</b>								
<b>PARTICIPANT</b>	<b>TARGET CAP. (MW)</b>	<b>ALLOCATION (%)</b>	<b>LDS 6 MO. CASH CALL</b>	<b>GENL 6 MO CASH CALL</b>	<b>6 MO CASH CALL</b>	<b>LDS TOTAL</b>	<b>GENERAL TOTAL</b>	<b>2021 TOTAL</b>
EBCE	0	0	\$ -	\$ 8,110	\$ 8,110	\$ -	\$ 12,300	\$ 12,300
3CE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 55,596	\$ 12,300	\$ 67,896
CPSF	25	7.2	\$ 22,407	\$ 8,110	\$ 30,517	\$ 27,798	\$ 12,300	\$ 40,098
MCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 55,596	\$ 12,300	\$ 67,896
PCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 55,596	\$ 12,300	\$ 67,896
RCEA	10	2.9	\$ 8,963	\$ 8,110	\$ 17,073	\$ 11,119	\$ 12,300	\$ 23,419
SJCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 55,596	\$ 12,300	\$ 67,896
SVCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 55,596	\$ 12,300	\$ 67,896
SCPA	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 55,596	\$ 12,300	\$ 67,896
VCE	10	2.9	\$ 8,963	\$ 8,110	\$ 17,073	\$ 11,119	\$ 12,300	\$ 23,419
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 309,220</b>	<b>\$ 81,100</b>	<b>\$ 390,320</b>	<b>\$ 383,610</b>	<b>\$ 123,000</b>	<b>\$ 506,610</b>
<b>Proposed Cash Call</b>								
<b>PARTICIPANT</b>	<b>TARGET CAP. (MW)</b>	<b>ALLOCATION (%)</b>	<b>LDS 6 MO. CASH CALL</b>	<b>GENL 6 MO CASH CALL</b>	<b>6 MO CASH CALL</b>	<b>LDS TOTAL</b>	<b>GENERAL TOTAL</b>	<b>2021 TOTAL</b>
EBCE	0	0	\$ -	\$ 8,110	\$ 8,110	\$ -	\$ 12,300	\$ 12,300
3CE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 73,424	\$ 12,300	\$ 85,724
CPSF	25	7.2	\$ 22,407	\$ 8,110	\$ 30,517	\$ 36,712	\$ 12,300	\$ 49,012
MCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 73,424	\$ 12,300	\$ 85,724
PCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 73,424	\$ 12,300	\$ 85,724
RCEA	10	2.9	\$ 8,963	\$ 8,110	\$ 17,073	\$ 14,685	\$ 12,300	\$ 26,985
SJCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 73,424	\$ 12,300	\$ 85,724
SVCE	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 73,424	\$ 12,300	\$ 85,724
SCPA	50	14.5	\$ 44,814	\$ 8,110	\$ 52,924	\$ 73,424	\$ 12,300	\$ 85,724
VCE	10	2.9	\$ 8,963	\$ 8,110	\$ 17,073	\$ 14,685	\$ 12,300	\$ 26,985
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 309,220</b>	<b>\$ 81,100</b>	<b>\$ 390,320</b>	<b>\$ 506,626</b>	<b>\$ 123,000</b>	<b>\$ 629,626</b>